



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,211	08/25/2000		John S. Flowers HVWD-01001US0-MEM/SBS		5311
758	7590	09/20/2005	EXAMINER		NER
FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER				MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
801 CALIFO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2131	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5 ,		TH					
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/648,211	FLOWERS ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ust be filed within one of the following					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 7 CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 44. Claim(s) objected to: 29. Claim(s) rejected: 1-28,30-34,36-43 and 45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attached.					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 4, 19, 26, 36, 43 and 45 have been amended to require a new search.